



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,780	05/11/2005	Matthias Brunner	ZIMR/0014	3146
7590 Moser Patterson & Sheridan Zimmermann & Partner Suite 1500 3040 Post Oak Boulevard Houston, TX 77056		04/01/2009	EXAMINER VELEZ, ROBERTO	
			ART UNIT 2829	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/01/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/518,780	BRUNNER, MATTHIAS	
	Examiner Roberto Velez	Art Unit 2829	
All Participants: (1) <u>Roberto Velez</u> . (2) <u>Keith M. Tackett</u> .	Status of Application: _____		
Date of Interview: <u>12 March 2009</u>	Time: <u>1:00pm</u>		
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: N/A			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Attorney Keith M. Tackett to verify if a response was going to be filed to the Advisory Action mailed on 12/11/2008 or the Final Action mailed on 09/19/2008. Mr. Tackett responded negatively.</i>			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Attorney Keith M. Tackett to verify if a response was going to be filed to the Advisory Action mailed on 12/11/2008 or the Final Action mailed on 09/19/2008. The Attorney Keith M. Tackett notify to the Examiner that no response was going to be filed.